RESOLUTION NO. 2013 -

Be It Resolved by the Quorum Court of the County of	, State of Arkansa
A Resolution to be Entitled:	
A Resolution of Opposition to the January 2013 "designation	" and "recognition" of
the White River and its Watershed as the "Second National B	lueway": and especial
Opposition to a "Blueway" Memorandum of Finderstanding to	

the White River and its Watershed as the "Second National Blueway"; and especial Opposition to a "Blueway" Memorandum of Understanding being entered into by federal and state bureaucrats pertaining to our lands and waters—due to lack of proper notification or invitation, and due to failure to seek approval, involvement, or input of any kind from County.

- 1. WHEREAS, on January 9, 2013, agents of the U.S. Department of Interior hosted in Little Rock an announcement/news release ceremony to announce that the White River and its watershed (17:8 million acres across 60 counties in Arkansas and Missouri) "had been named the nation's second National Blueway". The only two U.S. congressional delegates named as being present for the announcement were neither one a representative of the vast area of north Arkansas pertaining to the White River/Watershed. The three U.S. congressional delegates which do represent the White River/Watershed area of Arkansas, Senator John Boozman, Representative Steve Womack, and Representative Rick Crawford, were not present at the ceremony, and it is not know if even they were aware of or had been invited to the "naming/designating" of the supposed White River/Watershed "Blueway". Also, no County officials of any of the affected area were meaningfully informed nor known to have been invited to be present at the ceremony; "ND,
- 2. WHEREAS, the federal law requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Clean Water Act (CWA) and other federal statutes require that all conservation programs be balanced with equal protection of the customs, cultures, and economies of the areas conserved or preserved; yet the language of the Bhieway Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) contract plainly lists "cultural preservation" and "sustainable economic opportunities" to be provided for only "to the extent compatible with agency missions, goals, objectives, and priorities", while the overall language of the MOU contract is solely about grandiose schemes to "conserve" and "preserve" the entire watershed including "working lands and waters"; AND,
- 3. WHEREAS, no definition of "working lands and waters" is given in the MOU; AND
- 4. WHEREAS, affected Arkansas counties which have adopted Comprehensive Land Use and Management Plans according to the provisions of federal law at 40 CFR 1501.7(a)(1), et al, were neither informed, notified, or invited to participate in the "Blueway" process as is required by federal law; AND,
- 5. WHEREAS, such violation of federal law by federal agencies to not balance conservation and preservation with protection of custom, culture, social, and economic vitality; plus their failure to fulfill federal law requirements to inform, include, and involve county officials in all plans and proceedings of federal/state programs and projects, etc.— in our understanding thereby nullifies and voids all their burcaucratic attempts and efforts which have taken place up to this present time, for the purpose of "designating" or "naming" of White River/Watershed as a "National Blueway"; AND,
- b. WHEREAS, the whole appearance of and the general list of participants in this ill-conceived "Blueway" attempt is so reminiscent of and similar to the now infamous effort of 1996 (by the U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Fish & Wildlife, Arkansas Game & Fish, and other state and federal agencies) to submit the same general area (Ozark Highlands/White River Basin) to the U.S. Man and Biosphere (MAB) program which had the same goals, objectives and strategies as does this "Blueway" newcomer; (the 1996 MAB attempt was aborted when former Governor Hickabec demanded AG&PC to withdraw); -continued next page

SO THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE County of, State of			
Arkansas, on this	day of	, in the Year of o	ır Lord 2013,
THAT:			•
A)	County has every in	itention to continue present c	onservation
practices as is mandat	ed by laws that are con-	sistent with historic and bala	nced
provisions of the Cons	titution of the State of	Arkansas and will therefore,	neither
recognize, cooperate,	particip <mark>ate</mark> or partner w	vith, nor submit to any so-cal	led
"Blueway" designs of	any type or kind-due to	the violations of federal law	noted above;
and the to the matrix o	Tour county being igno	red by state and Tederal agen	ts as they
constitting with us.	mage" our lands and w	vaters without seeking our ap	proval or
B)	County calls on Go	overnor Beebe to demand im	mediate
withdrawal of Arkans	as Game & Fish Comm	ission, Arkansas Natural He	ritage
Commission, and Ark	ansas Waterways Assoc ershed National Blueway	ciation from participation in	or support of
©	County calls on or	ır U:S. Congressional Delega	tion to
demand immediate w	ithdrawal of federal age	encies from imposing a Natio	nal Blueway
System of any type or	r kind upon White Rive	r Watershed; and to refrain	from entering
into a Memorandum	of Understanding for an	y purpose, now or in the fut	ure,
pertaining to lands, a	ir, water, etc. of Arkans	sas <u>unless</u> county governmen	ts have been
		have agreed to so do based o	
		tors. And further, our count	
our congressions del	egates to take special ca	are in the future, to prevent a	ny attempt of
Arkansas again.	arany designed "consto	rative framework" MOU co	aurict in
en sausas agam.			

*BE IT THEREFORE SO RESOLVED.

*Note: Upon adoption of this Resolution, the County Clerk of this county is to immediately forward a file-marked copy for publication in a newspaper of local circulation and also to forward file-marked copies to Governor Beebe and to each of Arkansas' six U.S. congressional delegates- to both their state offices and to their Washington D.C. offices, and for informational purposes to all our district Arkansas legislative delegates. The County Clerk is then to provide written file-marked certification to the Quorum Court that such filstifbution has been accomplished.